

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/800,256	Applicant(s) ZAKAY-RONES ET AL.	
	Examiner Brian Whiteman	Art Unit 1635	

All Participants:

 (1) Brian Whiteman.

 (2) Jeffrey Wolfson.
Status of Application: Election/Restriction

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 August 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

47

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative about claims 47-52 for clarification on its dependency. Claims 47-52 are directed to nucleic acid delivery, whereas claim 45 is directed to polypeptide delivery. Confirmed with applicant's representative that claims 47-52 should depend from claim 46 instead of claim 45..